

## COMMUNITY SAFETY SUB OSC AGENDA

**Tuesday, 30 January 2018 at 1.30 pm in the Bridges Room - Civic Centre**

---

From the Chief Executive, Sheena Ramsey

---

Item	Business
<b>1</b>	<b>Apologies</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Minutes</b> (Pages 3 - 10)  The Committee are asked to approve the minutes of the last meeting held on 17 October 2017.
<b>3</b>	<b>Progress Update on Families Gateshead</b> (Pages 11 - 12)  Report of the Strategic Director Care, Wellbeing and Learning.
<b>4</b>	<b>Anti-Social Behaviour update - Tools and Powers/Tasking and Problem Solving</b> (Pages 13 - 14)  Report of the Strategic Director, Communities & Environment.
<b>5</b>	<b>Hate Crime Update - Engagement with local communities/residents</b> (Pages 15 - 16)  Report of the Strategic Director, Communities & Environment.
<b>6</b>	<b>Consultation on Strategic Assessment Priorities</b> (Pages 17 - 20)  Report of the Strategic Director, Communities and Environment.
<b>7</b>	<b>Work Programme</b> (Pages 21 - 24)  Joint report of the Chief Executive and Strategic Director, Corporate Services & Governance.

*Contact: Melvyn Mallam-Churchill  
melvynmallam-churchill@gateshead.gov.uk,  
Tel: 0191 433 3993,  
Date: Monday, 22 January 2018*

This page is intentionally left blank

## **GATESHEAD METROPOLITAN BOROUGH COUNCIL COMMUNITY SAFETY SUB OSC MEETING**

**Tuesday, 17 October 2017**

**PRESENT:** Councillor N Weatherley (Chair)

Councillor(s): T Graham, K Dodds, J Turnbull and  
S Hawkins

**APOLOGIES:** Councillor(s): H Haran, J McClurey and A Wheeler

**CSS33 APOLOGIES**

**CSS34 MINUTES**

RESOLVED - that the minutes of the last meeting held on 27 June 2017 were  
agreed as a correct record.

**CSS35 DELIBERATE SECONDARY FIRES**

The committee received a presentation from David Leach, Tyne and Wear Fire and  
Rescue Service on deliberate secondary fires.

RESOLVED - that the information be noted.

**CSS36 PERCEPTIONS OF CRIME AND DISORDER AND FEELINGS OF SAFETY**

The Committee received a presentation from Peter Wright, Gateshead Council and  
Chief Inspector Karl Wilson, Northumbria Police on perceptions of crime and  
disorder and feelings of safety.

RESOLVED - that the information be noted

**CSS37 IMPLEMENTATION OF SAFER GATESHEAD PRIORITIES - 6 MONTH  
PROGRESS UPDATE**

The Committee received a report on the Community Safety Board's six monthly  
progress update on activities that have been undertaken to address its strategic  
priorities (as set out in the statutory Partnership Plan for 2017/18)

The Committee were reminded that the Partnership Plan brings together the  
analytical findings from the Strategic Assessment, along with the key activities  
undertaken by the Board on the previous 12 months to set out the strategic priorities  
that will be delivered in the forthcoming year. The priorities confirmed for 2017/18  
are:

- 1) Tackling Violence
- 2) Combatting Anti-Social Behaviour
- 3) Addressing Substance Misuse
- 4) Improving Public Confidence

The table appended to the report shows performance of crime and disorder within Gateshead from April 2017 until 31 August 2017. It must be noted at the outset changes to National Crime Recording Standards has significantly altered the way in which crime is recorded and has subsequently inflated performance figures and makes it difficult to compare to previous years. Discussions held at the Police and Crime Panel suggests that inflated figures will continue until the end of the financial year – with Corporate Development in Northumbria Police projecting increases of between 9% and 18% at year end.

The Committee received details of some of the work carried out by partners and Council services involved in Community Safety in the last six months against the objectives identified within the Partnership Plan. For reassurance, work has taken place against all priority areas, most of which are progressing well and/or has already been completed.

The Board advised that they will continue to progress work in relation to all of the objectives, however, some of the areas that will be prioritised or be developed further over the next six-months are:

- Continue to review the Multi-Agency Safeguarding Hub and Domestic Abuse functions and identify a new operating/delivery model for Gateshead.
- Undertake a systems-thinking review of how we tackle ASB to ensure we have the right approach for supporting victims.
- Continue to roll out training and awareness around ASB Tools and Powers and to further embed a problem solving culture within Gateshead in relation to Community Safety issues.
- Further develop our communications/engagement approaches to ensure we continue to proactively tackle perceptions of crime and disorder and feelings of safety with local residents.
- Produce the statutory Strategic Assessment (and explore options for a joint assessment with Safe Newcastle) as well as undertaking public consultation on the draft priorities.
- Finalise the Domestic Homicide Review into Adult D and implement any of the recommendations identified.

- RESOLVED -
- i) That the information be noted
  - ii) To continue to receive six-monthly Community Safety updates
  - iii) To identify any issues/areas that OSC want to scrutinise in greater details at a future Committee meeting

The Committee received an additional update on the progress made in the last six months against the outcomes set out in the Council Plan 2015-2020. Of the 6 indicators reported to this committee, 3 have 6-month data available. The number of reported incidents of ASB has improved compared to last year whilst the number of referrals to ARCH has declined. The number of recorded crimes has increased and

this has been discussed in depth earlier on the agenda.

- RESOLVED - i) Committee agreed that the activities undertaken during April to September 2017 are achieving the desired outcomes in the Council Plan 2015-2020

### **CSS38 IMPACT OF THE PUBLIC SPACE PROTECTION ORDERS - 6 MONTH PROGRESS UPDATE**

The Committee received an update on the six month evaluation of the Public Space Protection Orders (PSPOs) for Gateshead. Gateshead Council's Cabinet requested a review of the PSPOs once they had been enforceable for six months.

The orders have now been enforceable for six months with varying degrees of success:

#### **Borough wide**

There are two measures in the borough wide PSPO which were intended to help the council deal with an increase in complaints regarding dog fouling:

- The requirement to carry the means to clean up after your dog
- To dispose of bagged dog waste in a bin or by taking it home

There is also an additional requirement to help police deal with on street drinking which makes it an offence in Gateshead not to surrender any open alcohol container when asked to do so by an authorised officer.

The measures around dog fouling were put in place as a response to reduced resources and recognising the difficulties of catching people "in the act". They allow officers to proactively challenge dog owners to ensure they have the means to clear up which completely changes the situation from being reactive to enable a more direct, intelligence led approach. The second element was around dog waste being hung in trees, as this is not covered by littering legislation.

However, there has been little activity around implementing this as there is currently no on street enforcement function. Without an on street environmental enforcement team the only place the work could sit would be with the enforcement team – however, unless they stopped doing existing areas of work there is no capacity to pick up this additional demand. A report to Cabinet in July explored the options for delivering on street enforcement. Discussions continue about an on street enforcement team with the aim of having one in place by the end of the year.

Northumbria Police value the measure around disposing of alcohol as it is a useful tool, particularly in relation to youth ASB – alcohol is often found and confiscated. Northumbria Police do not routinely record the number of times alcohol is disposed of but have noticed an increase in the use as the legislation is available to use across the whole of the borough rather than the discreet areas that were previously subject to a Designated Public Place Order (DPPO).

## **Metro Centre**

This PSPO has been very effective and has worked in preventing the number of car meets in the retail park of the Metro Centre, as a result there have been no fixed penalty notices issued. Since its implementation there have been no issues and the meets have ceased to exist.

## **Town Centre**

There are nine measures to combat anti-social behaviour in the town centre PSPO.

### **Touting for business and pitching stalls on the highway**

Since the order was introduced and the enforcement team carried out some planned activity this is now greatly reduced, if not eliminated.

However, the Institute of Fundraising has raised concerns that the current situation is too restrictive. They remain unhappy that two members of staff from one of their charities were issued with fixed penalty notices. They await with interest the outcome of this review, and are hoping that collecting by charities in the town centre may be permitted through a site management agreement limiting their number of collectors and the days on which they can collect.

Any arrangement to manage and approve collectors would require additional staffing resources, together with a policy statement, fee and charging arrangements. Provisions already exist for charitable cash collections to receive a Street Collection Permit, and it is not recommended at this stage that alternative arrangements are put in place to accommodate the groups who collect for charities by taking bank details from the public.

### **Begging/Street drinking/Taking intoxicating substances/Being intoxicated and incapable/Urinating and defecating**

There have been a large number of breaches of these provisions, and due to a lack of resource to enforce the order a limited amount of fixed penalty notices have been issued. As a result intelligence has been gathered around this, and a cohort of perpetrators has been identified in conjunction with partners including Northumbria Police, The Gateshead Housing Company, Evolve and Basis. Some of these breaches may also be as a result of increased regulation and associated enforcement activity in Newcastle.

This client group are extremely difficult to engage and cause numerous problems for businesses, residents and visitors to the town centre. As a result the more mainstream enforcement toolkit does not generally work. For example, of the 22 fixed penalty notices issued, not one payment has been received and in many cases multiple notices have been issued to the same people.

The approach with this group needs to be one of two options, when a breach occurs:

- A summons file produced by Northumbria Police so we can get it in to court

- quickly with the option of requesting a Criminal Behaviour Order; or
- Proactively compiling a file of evidence to make an application for an injunction to tackle specific aspects of perpetrators behaviour.

Both of these options require additional resource including time by council officers to do background checks with partners and agencies to ascertain who may be working with the offender and consult around the proposed conditions of any order we want to request. It is important that we do not criminalise people who genuinely need support services and work extensively with partners to ensure this is not the case.

The latter approach was recently adopted to deal with one prolific offender: however it was met with some difficulty because of the approach taken by the judiciary. This case was council led however, without a dedicated resource impacted on delivery of other services.

Regular complaints are made by visitors to the town centre, by retailers and other partners including Trinity Square Management and Nexus regarding individuals' behaviour, in particular around breaches of the PSPO. The neighbourhood policing team aren't always on shift, or are often working on another issue, and so the complaint isn't responded to in the timely manner people would expect. The 'Necessity to Arrest Test' adopted by Northumbria Police has also caused some difficulties in dealing with perpetrators, in that on occasions perpetrators aren't detained which means it is likely that they will continue to breach the order.

The council is only allocated one half day slot every two weeks to present cases in court. As of early August hearings were already listed through to October. This means action in late August is likely not to go to court until November. This delay allows offences to continue, without any opportunity to take action earlier to stop the activity. This causes a concern around people's perceptions about the ability of the council and police taking timely action to address problematic behaviour.

### **Feeding pigeons and anti-social riding**

Since the order came into force we have had one complaint about feeding pigeons which was subsequently dealt with and there have been no further issues.

Trinity Square routinely warn cyclists about anti-socially, no further complaints have been received.

- RESOLVED -
- i) That committee note the report
  - ii) That committee retain the current arrangements concerning charity collections, and do not seek to amend the current order to introduce any new arrangements to facilitate collections outside of the existing provisions for Street Collection Permits under the Police, Factories etc (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1916

## **CSS39      PREVENT - PROGRESS UPDATE (SELF-ASSESSMENT)**

The Committee received a report which provided an update on the work/activity that has taken place to address the recommendations outlined within the Final Report (of the review of Preventing Violent Extremism that was carried out in 2015/16) and builds upon the previous progress reports submitted to Committee back in October 2016 and April 2017.

The Committee were reminded that the Final Report concluded that there were multi-agency arrangements in place to meet the statutory responsibilities set out in the national Prevent Duty Guidance.

The review identified no significant gaps but felt that a self-assessment should be undertaken on a regular basis to ensure that strong and robust processes are in place to safeguard those at risk of being drawn into extremism (and to help demonstrate that, as a Council, proactive work is underway to address future challenges).

There were a total of 13 recommendations were identified as part of the review and were appended to the report for information. The recommendations were aligned to the 3 x themes identified in the national Prevent guidance:

- (1) Leadership
- (2) Working in Partnership; and
- (3) Capabilities

A wide range of actions have been carried out in relation to the recommendations and examples of the work undertaken were appended to the report for information.

In relation to the future focus/gaps identified – which needs to be progressed in the next six months are:

- Community Safety is to re-establish PPP (Prevent, Protect and Prepare) Sub-Group and Contest Board by the end of the year.
- Strengthen our internal data collection and identification method to ensure that all referrals are captured.
- Practice Guidance Note produced to help officers understand the processes involved in referring an individual to Prevent remains still relevant – but may need to be amended to reflect ongoing changes to the Early Help and Multi Agency Safeguarding Hub Models
- A Members Seminar will be arranged with Special Branch to share findings of the Local Counter Terrorism Profile and to provide an updated picture of local/national threat.
- Further work to be undertaken with key services to ensure the Council utilises its full authority and legitimacy to increase awareness and understanding of the Prevent agenda.
- Commission and deliver further training sessions in relation to WRAP – targeting those areas of greatest need.



- RESOLVED -
- i) That the information be noted
  - ii) That the Committee agreed to receive a progress update in relation to the progress made against delivering the recommendations at a future meeting (March 2018)

**Chair.....**

This page is intentionally left blank

**COMMUNITY SAFETY SUB  
OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE  
30 January 2018**

**TITLE OF REPORT: Update on the FamiliesGateshead Programme**

**REPORT OF: Val Hall, Service Director, Early Help Service, Care, Wellbeing and Learning**

---

## **Summary**

FamiliesGateshead is the local model for delivery of the national Troubled Families programme funded and overseen by the Department of Communities and Local Government (DCLG). Families eligible for the programme between 2012 – 2014 were identified against nationally-set criteria of worklessness, school attendance and youth crime and ASB. Local indicators, including take-up of free early years provision and previous involvement of services, was also included in the revised eligibility for the programme.

From 2014 (until 2020) families must feature a minimum of two from a list of six national indicators, including parents and children involved in crime and ASB, school attendance, children who need help, worklessness and adults at risk of financial exclusion, families affected by domestic violence and abuse and parents and children with a range of health problems.

The programme uses a Payment by Results (PbR) model which allows the Local Authority to claim a financial dividend where outcomes for the family have been achieved.

The focus of the programme changed from April 2017, when an increased emphasis on tackling worklessness within families was announced by the DWP in *the Improving Lives: Helping Workless Families* paper.

## **Background**

The presentation will provide members with an update on:

- The allocation of family cases across services
- Progress with making (PbR) claims
- The Troubled Families delivery model and the Early Help Service

## **Recommendation**

Committee are asked to:

- Note the contents of the presentation and report
- Comment on the report/presentation
- Agree to receive further updates at future OSC meetings

---

**Contact: Susan Butler**

**Ext: 0191 433 4596**

**TITLE OF REPORT:** Anti-Social Behaviour Update – Tools and Powers/Tasking and Problem Solving

**REPORT OF:** Paul Dowling, Strategic Director, Communities and Environment

---

## Summary

Community Safety Overview and Scrutiny Sub-Committee will be presented with an update in relation to how anti-social behaviour is being tackled within Gateshead (including an overview of the statutory tools and powers that are used to protect victims and address offending behaviour. Committee will also receive details of local multi-agency Tasking and Problem Solving arrangements that are in place in the Borough to target hotspot locations and resolve ASB issues for local residents.

---

## Background

As a reminder, the Community Safety Partnership has a statutory duty to work in partnership to address anti-social behaviour issues impacting on local communities – and we have, for a significant number of years, had strong and robust arrangements in place. In the past, Committee have been provided with reports/presentations on the effectiveness of the local Tasking and Problem Solving arrangements in reducing anti-social behaviour – and at the last Committee in October – scrutinised the work undertaken in relation to the introduction of the Public Space Protection Orders.

## Presentation

The presentation will provide members with a further update in relation to how anti-social behaviour is being tackled within Gateshead and will focus on:

- The operational delivery models (including Neighbourhood Tasking and Problem Solving) that are currently in place;
- Overview of the ASB Tools and Powers that are being used within Gateshead to address ASB concerns/issues – including details of work that has taken place over the last twelve-months to help partners and Council services embed/further utilise these opportunities.
- Specific case study examples of how partnership working has been used to help solve problems for local residents. This will include specific work that was carried out by partners to address ASB in an estate in South Gateshead as well as highlighting the local interventions that are being deployed to help address the behaviour of complex and persistent offenders.

## Recommendation

Committee are asked to:

- (i) Note the contents of the presentation/report;
  - (ii) Comment on and scrutinise the approaches undertaken locally to address anti-social behaviour, and
  - (iii) Agree to receive further updates at a future Committee.
-

This page is intentionally left blank

**TITLE OF REPORT:** Hate Crime and Tension Monitoring

**REPORT OF:** Paul Dowling, Strategic Director, Communities and Environment

---

## Summary

Community Safety Overview and Scrutiny Sub-Committee will be presented with an update in relation to how hate crime and tension monitoring is being tackled within Gateshead (including an overview of the local Hate Crime Strategy and details of actions undertaken locally to help support victims and prevent further incidents from occurring). It will also highlight the latest hate crime performance figures as well as providing examples of how we are engaging with local communities, residents and groups to raise awareness.

---

## Background

As a reminder for members, we have a strong approach to tackling hate crime within Gateshead and have a proactive response to dealing with community-related tensions. Partners worked together to develop a robust Hate Crime Strategy, which was approved by Community Safety Board back in April 2016, and included a wealth of actions around three key themes:

- Reporting Hate Crime
- Case Management
- Prevention through Education

The strategy is underpinned by a detailed multi-agency Action Plan which sets out the activities that will be undertaken by the partnership to address hate crime over the forthcoming twelve-months. It is complemented by a local Community Tensions Framework which provides details of how we respond to tensions and community-related issues experienced within the Borough.

We also have a Hate Crime and Tension Monitoring Group which is a multi-agency forum that meets on a bi-monthly basis and is chaired by the Sergeant from the Community Engagement Team at Northumbria Police. This group oversees the delivery of the Hate Crime Strategy and the Action Plan.

## Presentation

The presentation will provide members with a further update in relation to how hate crime being tackled within Gateshead and will focus on:

- Providing a national, regional and local context around hate crime;
- Working with local communities/groups to raise awareness and encourage the reporting of hate crime;
- Examples of how we have worked in partnership to respond to hate crime and community tensions;
- Overview of actions identified at regional Hate Crime Conference organised by Community Safety and Northumbria Police Community Engagement Team (as part of National Hate Crime Awareness Week 2017).

- Highlight the future focus and planned projects of the Hate Crime and Tension Monitoring Group over the next 12 months.

**Recommendation**

Committee are asked to:

- (i) Note the contents of the presentation/report;
- (ii) Comment on and scrutinise the approaches undertaken locally to address hate crime and
- (iii) Agree to receive further updates at a future Committee.

---

**Contact:** Steven Kelly

**Ext:** 0191 433 2701





**TITLE OF REPORT:**           **Community Safety Board – Strategic Assessment 2017**

**REPORT OF:**               **Paul Dowling**  
                                     **Strategic Director – Communities and Environment**

---

## **Summary**

This report provides Community Safety Overview and Scrutiny Sub-Committee with an overview of the 2017 strategic assessment process and the proposed strategic priorities for the Community Safety Board to address in 2018/19. The report also forms part of the consultation process on the strategic priorities.

---

### **1. Introduction**

- 1.1 This report provides Community Safety Overview and Scrutiny Sub-Committee with an overview of the 2017 strategic assessment process and the proposed strategic priorities for the Community Safety Board to address in 2018/19.

### **2. Background**

- 2.1 As a reminder for members, the Community Safety Board has a statutory duty under the Crime and Disorder Act 1998 to produce an annual Strategic Assessment and Partnership Plan. The Strategic Assessment is an analysis of key crime, disorder and anti-social behaviour issues within Gateshead in order to assist in identifying community safety priorities.

### **3 Strategic Assessment**

- 3.1 The 11<sup>th</sup> Strategic Assessment was completed in December 2017. Following the success of using the Management of Risk in Law Enforcement (MoRiLE) process for the 2016 assessment, a similar approach was proposed for completing the 2017 assessment. In order to build on this success, it was suggested that members of the Community Safety Boards from Gateshead and Newcastle could come together to discuss a range of issues. The respective Chairs of the Board agreed to this and, in November 2017, a joint meeting was held. Invites were circulated to those organisations who would ordinarily attend a Community Safety Board meeting.
- 3.2 Attendees at the meeting were given three tasks:
- To review progress against the 2017/18 priorities
    - Attendees were provided with the agreed actions set out in the 2017/18 Partnership Plan. Many of the actions had already been completed, or were in the process of being completed.
  - To consider new issues and decide, based on collective knowledge, whether or not an issue should be considered as a priority for 2018/19

- The groups were presented with a list of issues, some of which were new and some of which were already included within existing priorities. This task generated quite a lot of discussion, not least because it was recognised that although some of the issues were considered quite low level for the Community Safety Board to prioritise, these issues were the ones that members of the public consider very important.
  - To identify ways each of the priorities could be addressed by the partnership
    - The focus of this task was to think practically about how each priority could be addressed by the Community Safety Partnership rather than individual agencies. This will be further developed with practitioners in the next couple of months and will help inform the Partnership Plan.
- 3.3 No data was provided to attendees at this meeting. Instead, participants were asked to use their current knowledge of the issues, of their organisation and resources, and their instincts about what issues should be tackled by the Community Safety Boards. Following the identification of the draft priorities, data has been collected to either confirm or contradict each of the issues. This is available on request from the Community Safety Team.
- 3.4 The assessment process identified similar issues and problems to those found in previous years, providing reassurance that work undertaken by Community Safety partners remains appropriate and relevant.

#### **4 Draft Strategic Themes and Priorities for 2018/19**

- 4.1 The strategic themes identified for 2018/19 are shown in the table below:

<b>Name</b>	<b>Group 1</b>	<b>Group 2</b>
Substance Misuse	✓	✓
Anti-social Behaviour and Environmental Crime	✓	✓
Mental Health	✓	
Reducing Re-offending	✓	
Repeat Victimisation	✓	
Protecting Vulnerable People (including hate crime, all forms of vulnerability and repeat victimisation)		✓
Serious and Organised Crime (including sexual exploitation disruption, modern day slavery and human trafficking)		✓
Domestic and Sexual Abuse (including domestic homicide reviews)		✓
Prevent/Contest		✓

#### **5 Consultation**

- 5.1 Public consultation is a statutory requirement in the development of strategic priorities (as set out in the Crime and Disorder Act 1998). The draft priorities will be presented to relevant Portfolios, a number of resident and community groups as well as members of the public (via Gateshead Council's Consultation Portal, website and social media pages). This meeting of the Community Safety Overview and Scrutiny Committee also forms part of the consultation process.

5.2 A copy of the consultation document has been included as Appendix 1 for members' information. Members are encouraged to feed in their views by completing the online questionnaire and to promote this to their constituents so that as many people as possible have the opportunity to share their thoughts on the subject.

5.3 The consultation will run for eight weeks. Once it has closed, responses will be collated and a definitive list of priorities will be presented to the Community Safety Board to be formally agreed. More than 650 responses were received last year so we're hoping to receive more responses in the 2018 consultation. The Community Safety Board Partnership Plan will also be produced and made available to partners and members of the public from April 2018.

## **6 Recommendations**

6.1 The Committee is asked to:

- (i) Comment on each of the proposed priorities
- (ii) Comment on the report (and consultation document attached as Appendix 1); and
- (iii) Identify if there are any specific themes, priorities and/or issues that do not feature within the proposed priorities

---

<b>Contact(s):</b> Gemma Thompson, Community Safety Analyst/Researcher	<b>Ext:</b> 2168
Adam Lindridge, Community Safety Manager	3243

This page is intentionally left blank

**TITLE OF REPORT:**        **Annual Work Programme**

**REPORT OF:**                **Sheena Ramsey, Chief Executive**  
                                     **Mike Barker, Strategic Director, Corporate Services and**  
                                     **Governance**

---

## **Summary**

The report sets out the provisional work programme for Community Safety Sub Overview and Scrutiny Committee for the municipal year 2017/18.

---

1. The Committee's provisional work programme was endorsed at the meeting held on 3 April 2017 and Councillors have agreed that further reports will be brought to future meetings to highlight current issues / identify any changes/additions to this programme.
2. Appendix 1 sets out the work programme as it currently stands. Any changes proposed to the programme are set out in bold and italics for ease of identification.

## **Recommendations**

3. The Committee is asked to
  - a) Note the provisional programme;
  - b) Note that further reports on the work programme will be brought to the Committee to identify any additional policy issues, which the Committee may be asked to consider.

This page is intentionally left blank

<b>Community Safety Sub OSC Work Programme 2017-18</b>	
<b>27 June 2017</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Constitution</li> <li>• Role and Remit</li> <li>• The Council Plan – Year End Assessment and Performance Delivery 2016-17/Five Year Targets</li> <li>• Safer Gateshead Partnership Plan 2017-18</li> <li>• Serious Violence and Domestic Homicide Progress Update</li> <li>• Overview of Probation Services( links between CRC/NPS and enforcement activity</li> </ul>
<b>17 Oct 2017 (5.30PM)</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Implementation of Safer Gateshead Priorities – progress update</li> <li>• Prevent – Progress update on Action Plan</li> <li>• Progress Update – Domestic Abuse including MATAC</li> <li>• Anti – Social Behaviour update – Impact of PSPO's and Vanguard Review</li> <li>• Deliberate Fires</li> <li>• Work Programme</li> </ul>
<b>30 Jan 2018</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Progress Update on Families Gateshead</li> <li>• Consultation on Strategic Assessment Priorities</li> <li>• Anti –Social Behaviour update – Tools and Powers / Tasking and Problem Solving</li> <li>• Hate Crime Update – Engagement with local communities/residents</li> <li>• Work Programme</li> </ul>
<b>27 March 2018</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Implementation of Safer Gateshead Priorities – end of year performance</li> <li>• Prevent – End of Year Performance / Update on Action Plan</li> <li>• Safer Gateshead Draft Priorities 2018-19</li> <li>• Case Study – Impact of Alcohol on Community Safety</li> <li>• Work Programme</li> </ul>

**Issues to slot in:-**

- Drug Related Deaths – Annual Report and Audit Findings
- Serious Violence and Domestic Homicide – Additional Progress Update

This page is intentionally left blank